


WARNINGS & HINTS GIVEN BY GREAT MEN WHILE ADOPTING THE CONSTITUTION

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar is being sworn in as independent India's first Law Minister by Honorable 1'st President, Dr. Rajendra Prasad, on 8.05.1950.

B&W photograph from Wikimedia Commons, which was edited and re-colored.



Photograph is not related to the speeches in the Constituent Assembly of India but selected here because it shows both the dignitaries at once, in center of attention.

In the Constituent Assembly of India, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar on 25.11.1949 and Dr. Rajendra Prasad on 26.11.1949 expressed similar sentiments. They said that working of Constitution can be good or bad, it depends on the people who are called to work it. Constitution provides organs of state, whose working depends on the people. If the people who are elected are capable and men of character and integrity, they would be able to make the best even of a defective Constitution. In short Constitution is placed in modulus when one assesses the functioning of Constitution.

$$(\pm) \text{ Working of The Constitution} = \left((\pm) \text{ People who are chosen to work on} \right) \times \left| \text{Constitution and all it's organs} \right|_{\text{modulus}}$$

Here, the sign (±) means good or bad, on LHS it is result, on RHS it is choice. 'Chosen' means directly or indirectly elected and appointed persons. The challenge is, how to make both sides always good?



Great memorable Hero Prof. Khushal Talaksi Shah
Authentic picture or photo for Prof. K.T. Shah not found on internet.



Great memorable Hero Mr. Hari Vishnu Kamath
Photograph from Archives of Italian Higher Institute of Health.

The people of India, gratefully salute your efforts.

The Constituent Assembly of India was careful, the members knew and tried to set up some precautionary measures, against corruption. One can refer the Constitutional Debates, amendment #1332 moved by Prof.K.T. Shah which was again amended by Mr.H.V. Kamath and Dr.B.R. Ambedkar's very scholarly reply on assessing assets. Those ideas were thought to be not affordable, not knave-proof due to inadequate technical capacity at that time. Today in this tech-savvy world, where commoner's credit scores are assessed, but there is nothing like assessment of politicians, this is **very annoying**. Note that those founders of the Constitution had expected voters will choose good candidates.