

Choosing Candidates, Elections-Universal Adult Suffrage, Expectations & Reality !!

In reality, presently there have been certain places, where some voters are negligent, remiss and unethical. Such people will not improve intentionally for narrow mindedness, even after having complete information; they will continue voting for unworthy.



Why are some well informed people not dutiful and ethical while casting vote?

Politicians with serious records seem to do well despite their public image, largely due to their ability to finance their own elections and bring substantive resources to their respective parties. There are some narrow minded, faulty factions in voter society who prefer bad candidate over good one in elections on account of religion, cast, ethnicity, region, gender bias, language, lured by money or by freebies. Some call these faulty factions as 'vote banks' others call it 'strong holds', but they are main reason for presence of corruption and criminality in polity. When at some place, these faulty factions become outnumbered, criminals are able to get elected and represent other innocent people. The torture is that, it is called "the holy mandate" of the people !!!

कुछ लोगों को सच बोलने या स्वीकार करने में बहुत कठिनाई होती है। जब तक हम सत्य को स्वीकार नहीं करते, रोग का नाम नहीं लेते, तब तक उपचार कैसे संभव है? जो मतदाता दूसरों का भविष्य ध्वस्त करते हैं, क्या उन्हें दोष नहीं देना चाहिए? जो मतदाता गंदे लोगों को विधायिका में भेजते हैं, क्या उन्हें बदलने की कोशिश नहीं की जानी चाहिए?

ऐसे संकीर्ण सोच वाले कुछ लोग हैं, इसलिए मुफ्तखोरी भी होती है। यह धारणा गलत है कि, यदि उपलब्ध हो तो, सभी मतदाता मुफ्तखोरी के बजाय गरिमापूर्ण कमाई को चुनेंगे। अच्छे व्यवहार की अपेक्षा से परे, वास्तविकता भिन्न है। हाँ यह सच है कि केवल मुफ्तखोरी के वादे चुनाव के नतीजे तय नहीं करते हैं, क्योंकि जनता की इच्छा एक ऐसी भ्रामक चीज है जिसका कोई भी सटीक अनुमान नहीं लगा सकता है। कुछ मुफ्तखोरी के वादे फलीभूत नहीं होते, लेकिन इसलिए मुफ्तखोरी के कुरीति के अस्तित्व को नाकारा नहीं जा सकता। यह धारणा भी बिल्कुल गलत है कि ज्यादातर मतदाता इन उपहारों की भविष्य की कीमत से 'अनभिज्ञ' होते हैं। जनजागृति जैसे टोटके भी स्थिति नहीं बदलेंगे; तात्कालिक प्रलोभन उन्हें भटका देता है। अनेक राजनेता ऐसे अवसरों-स्थितियों का लाभ उठाने के लिए तो तत्पर रहते हैं।

"We will give our vote to the leader who belongs to our caste/religion/region/ethnic group; we won't bear anybody else. Even if our neta is facing charges of robbery and murder it's okay. We will agitate by vandalism and continue to support our leader, whenever it is necessary. Being a powerful (goon) our neta can teach a lesson to bureaucrats. We can dominate other people. Our leader will give job to our near ones in the government. We hate woman representative. Our bank loan will be waived. We may get new loan. We won't pay electricity bill. Our leader spends lot money and liquor for us during elections, we are loyal." - Supporters of the leader.

"We are enslaved as employees at leader's Educational Institutes, Co-operatives, Sugar Factory etc. If the leader wins then we may get uninterrupted salary. We have to participate in election campaign and promote votes for our leader." - Slave Employees.



"If we select a criminal to contest the election, Bahubali can arrange for himself money and muscle power. Also casteism/religion distribution of the constituency is in his favor, then victory is certain." - Party High Command.

"Winnability is our criteria while allocating party tickets." - Authority.



There are certainly some ways in which these people can be nudged to become dutiful voters.